



AF/1646200

PATENT
Attorney Docket 53356-5001-US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application: **G. Lenzen et al.**

Application No. **09/319,724**

Filed: **September 8, 1999**

For: **MAMMALIAN ICYP (IODOCYANOPINDOLOL)
RECEPTOR AND ITS APPLICATIONS**

Group Art Unit: **1646**

Examiner: **Michael T. Brannock**

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
220 20th Street S.
Customer Window
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL FORM

1. Transmitted herewith is an Amendment & Reply in response to the Office Action dated April 6, 2004.

2. **Additional Papers:**

1. Copy of Federal Court decision of *Noelle v. Lederman*
2. Copy of Interim Written Description Guidelines Training Materials
3. Copy of corrected drawings filed on July 24, 2003, with date-stamped postcard receipt

3. **Extension of Time**

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) apply.

☒ Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

☐ Applicant petitions for an extension of time, the fees for which are set out in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a), for the total number of months checked below: